

BEFORE COPYING FORM, ATTACH SITE IDENTIFICATION LABEL OR ENTER:

SITE NAME:

MCDONNELL AIRCRAFT COMPANY

EPA ID NO:

8900 FROST AVENUE
BERKELEY, MO 63133

MOD980968457

RECEIVED
FEB 23 1996
HAZARDOUS WASTE PROGRAM
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF
NATURAL RESOURCESU.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

1995 Hazardous Waste Report

FORM
ICIDENTIFICATION AND
CERTIFICATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Read the detailed instructions beginning on page 9 of the 1995 Hazardous Waste Report booklet before completing this form.

Sec. I Site name and location address. Complete A through H. Check the box ☐ in items A, C, E, F, G, and H if same as label; if different, enter corrections. If label is absent, enter information. Instruction page 10.

A. EPA ID No.

Same as label ☒ or →

B. County

St. Louis County

C. Site/company name McDonnell Douglas St. Louis

Same as label ☐ or → Bldg. 245-248

D. Has the site name associated with this EPA ID changed since 1993?

☐ 1 Yes☒ 2 No

E. Street name and number. If not applicable, enter industrial park, building name, or other physical location description.

Same as label ☒ or →

F. City, town, village, etc.

Same as label ☒ or →

G. State

Same as label

H. Zip Code

Same as label

Sec. II

Mailing address of site. Instruction page 10.

A. Is the mailing address the same as the location address?

☐ 1 Yes (SKIP TO SEC. III)☒ 2 No (GO TO BOX B)

B. Number and street name of mailing address

P.O. Box 516 Mailcode 111 1099

C. City, town, village, etc.

St. Louis

D. State

MO

E. Zip Code

63166 - 0516

Sec. III

Name, title, and telephone number of the person who should be contacted if questions arise regarding this report. Instruction page 10.

A. Please print:

Last Name

First name

M.I.

Haake

Joseph

W.

B. Title

Group Manager
Environmental
Engineering

C. Telephone

314 232 - 6941

Extension

Sec. IV

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties under Section 3008 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

A. Please print:

Last Name

First name

M.I.

Kaatman

Robert

H.

B. Title

Manager

C. Signature

D. Date of signature

02 21 96

MO.

DAY

YR.



R00154238

RCRA RECORDS CENTER

Page 1 of 8

Sec.V - Generator Status. Instruction pages 10, 12.

A. 1995 RCRA generator status

(CHECK ONE BOX BELOW)

- ☒ 1 LQG
☐ 2 SQG SKIP to SEC. VI
☐ 3 CESQG
☐ 4 Non generator (Continue to Box B)

B. Reason for not generating

(CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- ☐ 1 Never generated
☐ 2 Out of business
☐ 3 Only excluded or delisted waste
☐ 4 Only non-hazardous waste
☐ 5 Periodic or occasional generator
☐ 6 Waste minimization activity
☐ 7 Other (SPECIFY COMMENTS IN BOX BELOW)

Sec.VI - On-Site Waste Management Status. Instruction pages 13, 14.

A. Storage subject to RCRA permitting requirements

1

B. Treatment, disposal, or recycling subject to RCRA permitting requirements

1

C. RCRA-exempt treatment, disposal, or recycling

1

Sec.VII - Waste Minimization Activity during 1994 or 1995. Instruction pages 14, 15.

A. Did this site begin or expand a source reduction activity during 1994 or 1995?

- ☐ 1 Yes
☒ 2 No

B. Did this site begin or expand a recycling activity during 1994 or 1995?

- ☐ 1 Yes
☒ 2 No

C. Did this site systematically investigate opportunities for source reduction or recycling during 1994 or 1995?

- ☐ 1 Yes
☒ 2 No

D. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit this site's ability to initiate new or additional source reduction activities in 1994 or 1995? (CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH ITEM)

- | Yes | No | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | a. Insufficient capital to install new source reduction equipment or implement new source reduction practices |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | b. Lack of technical information on source reduction techniques applicable to the specific production processes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | c. Source reduction is not economically feasible: cost savings in waste management or production will not recover the capital investment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | d. Concern that product quality may decline as a result of source reduction |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | e. Technical limitations of the production processes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | f. Permitting burdens |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | g. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be technically feasible |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | h. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be economically feasible |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | i. Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be feasible due to permitting requirements |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | j. Other (SPECIFY COMMENTS IN BOX BELOW) |

E. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit the site's ability to initiate new or additional on-site or off-site recycling activities during 1994 or 1995? (CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH ITEM)

- | Yes | No | | Yes | No | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | a. Insufficient capital to install new recycling equipment or implement new recycling practice | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | g. Technical limitations of production processes inhibit shipments off-site for recycling |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | b. Lack of technical information on recycling techniques applicable to this site's specific production process | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | h. Technical limitations of production processes inhibit on-site recycling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | c. Recycling is not economically feasible: cost savings in waste management will not recover the capital investment | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | i. Permitting burdens inhibit recycling |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | d. Concern that product quality may decline as a result of recycling | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | j. Lack of permitted off-site recycling facilities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | e. Requirements to manifest wastes inhibit shipments of off-site for recycling | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | k. Unable to identify a market for recycled materials |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | f. Financial liability provisions inhibit shipments off-site for recycling | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | l. Recycling previously implemented - additional recycling does not appear to be technically feasible |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | m. Recycling previously implemented - additional recycling does not appear to be economically feasible |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | n. Recycling previously implemented - additional recycling does not appear to be feasible due to permitting requirements |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | o. Other (SPECIFY COMMENTS IN BOX BELOW) |

Comments:

BEFORE COPYING FORM, ATTACH SITE IDENTIFICATION LABEL OR ENTER:

SITE NAME: McDonnell Douglas St. Louis
8900 Frost Ave., Berkeley, MO 63134EPA ID NO: M 0 D 9 8 0 9 6 8 4 5 7U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

1995 Hazardous Waste Report

FORM
GMWASTE GENERATION
AND MANAGEMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: Read the detailed instructions beginning on page 16 of the 1995 Hazardous Waste Report booklet before completing this form.

Sec. I

A. Waste description - Instruction page 18.

Spent non-halogenated solvent from painting and metal cleaning.

B. EPA hazardous waste code Page 19.

D 0 0 1 D 0 0 7D 0 0 8 D 0 3 5 F 0 0 3

C. State hazardous waste code Page 19.

D. SIC code Page 19.

3 7 2 1E. Origin code 1 Page 19System
Type L M N A

F. Source code Page 20.

A 0 9G. Point of measurement
Page 20.2H. Form code
Page 20.B 2 0 3

I. RCRA - radioactive mixed Page 20.

2

Sec. II

A. Quantity generated in 1994
Instruction Page 21.4 4 0 0 . 0B. Quantity generated in 1995
Page 21.3 6 0 0 . 0C. UOM
Page 21.1
☐ 1 lbs/gal ☐ 2 sg

Density

D. Did this site do any of the following to this waste: treat on site, dispose on site, recycle on site, or discharge to a sewer/POTW? Page 21.

☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO SYSTEM 1)
☒ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC. III)

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 1

On-site process system type
Page 22.L M Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 2

On-site process system type
Page 22.L M Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995

Sec. III

A. Was any of this waste shipped off-site in 1995 ☒ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)
Instruction page 22. ☐ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC IV)

Site 1

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23.M 0 D 0 0 0 8 1 8 9 6 3C. System type shipped to
Page 23.L M 1 4 1D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23.2E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.

Site 2

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23. C. System type shipped to
Page 23.L M D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23. E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.

Sec. IV

A. Did new activities in 1995 result in minimization of this waste? ☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)
Instruction page 24. ☒ 2 No (THIS FORM IS COMPLETE)

B. Activity Page 24.

L W L W
L W L W

C. Other effects Page 25.

☐ 1 Yes
☐ 2 NoD. Quantity recycled in 1995 due to new activities
Page 25. E. Activity/production
index Page 25.

F. 1995 source reduction quantity Page 26.

Comments:

SEC. I. B. - F005

SEC. I. H. - B209, B211

BEFORE COPYING FORM, ATTACH SITE IDENTIFICATION LABEL OR ENTER:

SITE NAME: **McDonnell Douglas St. Louis**
8900 Frost Ave., Berkeley, MO 63134EPA ID NO: **M 0 D 9 8 0 9 6 8 4 5 7**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

1995 Hazardous Waste Report

FORM
GMWASTE GENERATION
AND MANAGEMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: Read the detailed instructions beginning on page 16 of the 1995 Hazardous Waste Report booklet before completing this form.

Sec. I

A. Waste description - Instruction page 18.

Spent halogenated and non-halogenated solvent mixture.

B. EPA hazardous waste code Page 19.

D 0 0 1 D 0 0 7
D 0 3 5 D 0 4 0 F 0 0 2

C. State hazardous waste code Page 19.

D. SIC code Page 19.

3 7 2 1E. Origin code **1** Page 19System
Type **L M N A**

F. Source code Page 20.

A 1 9G. Point of measurement
Page 20.**2**H. Form code
Page 20.**B 2 0 4**

I. RCRA - radioactive mixed Page 20.

2

Sec. II

A. Quantity generated in 1994
Instruction Page 21.**5 1 2 . 0**B. Quantity generated in 1995
Page 21.**5 1 2 . 0**C. UOM
Page 21.**1**☐ 1 lbs/gal ☐ 2 sg

Density

D. Did this site do any of the following to this waste: treat on site, dispose on site, recycle on site, or discharge to a sewer/POTW? Page 21.

☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO SYSTEM 1)
☒ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC. III)

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 1

On-site process system type
Page 22.**L M**Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995**5 1 2 . 0**

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 2

On-site process system type
Page 22.**L M**Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995**5 1 2 . 0**

Sec. III

A. Was any of this waste shipped off-site in 1995 ☒ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)
Instruction page 22. ☐ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC IV)

Site 1

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23.**M 0 D 0 0 0 8 1 8 9 6 3**C. System type shipped to
Page 23.**L M 1 4 1**D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23.**2**E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.**5 1 2 . 0**

Site 2

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23.C. System type shipped to
Page 23.**L M**D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23.E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.

Sec. IV

A. Did new activities in 1995 result in minimization of this waste? ☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)
Instruction page 24. ☒ 2 No (THIS FORM IS COMPLETE)

B. Activity Page 24.

W **W**
W **W**

C. Other effects Page 25.

☐ 1 Yes
☐ 2 NoD. Quantity recycled in 1995 due to new activities
Page 25.E. Activity/production
index Page 25.

F. 1995 source reduction quantity Page 26.

Comments:

SEC. I. B. - F003, F005

BEFORE COPYING FORM, ATTACH SITE IDENTIFICATION LABEL OR ENTER:

SITE NAME: **McDonnell Douglas St. Louis**
8900 Frost Ave., Berkeley, MO 63134EPA ID NO: **M O D 9 8 0 9 6 8 4 5 7**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

1995 Hazardous Waste Report

FORM
GMWASTE GENERATION
AND MANAGEMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: Read the detailed instructions beginning on page 16 of the 1995 Hazardous Waste Report booklet before completing this form.

Sec. I

A. Waste description - Instruction page 18.

Chromic acid from electroplating/anodizing aluminum and titanium.

B. EPA hazardous waste code Page 19.

D 0 0 2 D 0 0 7**N A N A N A**

C. State hazardous waste code Page 19.

D. SIC code Page 19.

3 7 2 1E. Origin code **1** Page 19System
Type **N A**

F. Source code Page 20.

A 2 2G. Point of measurement
Page 20.**2**H. Form code
Page 20.**B 1 0 3**

I. RCRA - radioactive mixed Page 20.

2

Sec. II

A. Quantity generated in 1994
Instruction Page 21.B. Quantity generated in 1995
Page 21.**N A****5 1 9 . 0**C. UOM
Page 21.**1**☐ 1 lbs/gal ☐ 2 sg

Density

D. Did this site do any of the following to this waste: treat on site, dispose on site, recycle on site, or discharge to a sewer/POTW? Page 21.

☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO SYSTEM 1)☒ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC. III)

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 1

On-site process system type
Page 22.**M**Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995**5 1 9 . 0**

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 2

On-site process system type
Page 22.**M**Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995**5 1 9 . 0**

Sec. III

A. Was any of this waste shipped off-site in 1995
Instruction page 22.☒ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)☐ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC IV)

Site 1

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23.**M O D 0 0 0 8 1 8 9 6 3**C. System type shipped to
Page 23.**M 1 4 1**D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23.**2**E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.**5 1 9 . 0**

Site 2

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23.C. System type shipped to
Page 23.**M**D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23.E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.

Sec. IV

A. Did new activities in 1995 result in minimization of this waste? ☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)

Instruction page 24.

☒ 2 No (THIS FORM IS COMPLETE)

B. Activity Page 24.

L W**L W**

C. Other effects Page 25.

☐ 1 Yes☐ 2 NoD. Quantity recycled in 1995 due to new activities
Page 25.E. Activity/production
index Page 25.

F. 1995 source reduction quantity Page 26.

Comments:

BEFORE COPYING FORM, ATTACH SITE IDENTIFICATION LABEL OR ENTER:

SITE NAME: **McDonnell Douglas St. Louis**
8900 Frost Ave., Berkeley, MO 63134EPA ID NO: **M 0 D 9 8 0 9 6 8 4 5 7**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

1995 Hazardous Waste Report

FORM
GMWASTE GENERATION
AND MANAGEMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: Read the detailed instructions beginning on page 16 of the 1995 Hazardous Waste Report booklet before completing this form.

Sec. I

A. Waste description - Instruction page 18.

Spent alkaline cleaning solution.

B. EPA hazardous waste code Page 19.

D 0 0 2 D 0 0 7**NA NA NA**

C. State hazardous waste code Page 19.

NA NA NA

D. SIC code Page 19.

3 7 2 1E. Origin code **1** Page 19System
Type **LM NA**

F. Source code Page 20.

A 0 3G. Point of measurement
Page 20.**2**H. Form code
Page 20.**B 1 0 6**

I. RCRA - radioactive mixed Page 20.

2

Sec. II

A. Quantity generated in 1994
Instruction Page 21.**2 9 7 2 . 0**B. Quantity generated in 1995
Page 21.**1 9 8 0 . 0**C. UOM
Page 21.**1**☐ 1 lbs/gal ☐ 2 sg

Density

D. Did this site do any of the following to this waste: treat on site, dispose on site, recycle on site, or discharge to a sewer/POTW? Page 21.

☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO SYSTEM 1)☒ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC. III)

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 1

ON-SITE PROCESS SYSTEM 2

On-site process system type
Page 22.**LM**Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995**1 9 8 0 . 0**On-site process system type
Page 22.**LM**Quantity treated, disposed, or recycled on site
in 1995**1 9 8 0 . 0**

Sec. III

A. Was any of this waste shipped off-site in 1995
Instruction page 22.☒ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)☐ 2 No (SKIP TO SEC IV)

Site 1

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23.**M 0 D 0 0 0 8 1 8 9 6 3**C. System type shipped to
Page 23.**LM 1 4 1**D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23.**2**E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.**1 9 8 0 . 0**

Site 2

B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to
Page 23.**LM**C. System type shipped to
Page 23.**LM**D. Off-site
availability code
Page 23.**LM**E. Total quantity shipped in 1995
Page 23.**LM**

Sec. IV

A. Did new activities in 1995 result in minimization of this waste? ☐ 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B)

Instruction page 24.

☒ 2 No (THIS FORM IS COMPLETE)

B. Activity Page 24.

LM LM
LM LM

C. Other effects Page 25.

☐ 1 Yes
☐ 2 NoD. Quantity recycled in 1995 due to new activities
Page 25.**LM**E. Activity/production
index Page 25.**LM**

F. 1995 source reduction quantity Page 26.

LM

Comments: